

# CFD analysis for petrol overfilling incidents

### Simon Coldrick, Graham Atkinson, Simon Gant





# **Presentation layout**



- Introduction
- Experimental overview
- Computational model
- Model tuning
- Validation
- Sensitivity tests
- Further applications
- Conclusions

# Introduction



- Modelling the production of flammable vapour from tank overfilling involves a number of interacting processes
- These have been investigated experimentally
- The scope of the experiments is limited (timescales, geometries etc.)
- CFD modelling can be used to extend this scope

### Aims



- To construct a CFD model of a liquid cascade and validate it using experimental data
- To use the validated model to explore other different timescales and geometries
- To inform the Vapour Cloud Assessment method (RR908)

### Liquid cascade generation





### Cascade dynamics





### Experimental overview





# **CFD Model**



- ANSYS CFX 12 software
- Air/vapour flow : Eulerian approach
- Liquid droplets : particle-tracking approach
- Model accounts for:
  - drag force on droplets (entrainment rate predicted)
  - heat and mass transfer (liquid evaporation)
- Model does not account for:
  - Liquid breakup (initial drop size prescribed)
  - Splashing (droplets re-injected from floor)
- Liquid released is hexane

# **CFD** Model



- Hexane droplets released from rectangular area
- Variable width, depth offset, mass flow and particle size
- Splashing particles (if present) injected
  from ground with prescribed conditions

### Liquid temperatures

59.9997 [s]





 Some droplets evaporate completely



### Vapour temperatures





### Vapour volume



Total volume of vapour = Vapour in domain



# Sensitivity to Model Parameters



- Metrics:
  - Vapour volume
  - Liquid temp.
  - Vapour temp.
- Design of experiments

Difference between the high and low values for each input parameter, as a percentage of the mean over all eight simulations



Mean values in cascade

# Model Tuning



- **1. Mean liquid temperatures** in cascade controlled primarily by average droplet size
  - Smaller droplets are more cooled



#### **Rosin-Rammler Distribution**

(3 different mean diameters)

# Model Tuning



- Vapour temperatures in cascade can be controlled independently by size spectrum of droplets released
  - Higher proportion of small droplets reduces vapour temperatures significantly but has little effect on bulk liquid temperatures



(Mean diameter = 2 mm in all three cases)

# Model Tuning







governed by splashing droplets almost independently of cascade



# Model Tuning to Test 9





Cascade

- Adjust droplet size distribution to match liquid and cascade vapour temperatures
- Adjust splashing droplets to match current temperatures



**Vapour Current** 

### Model Validation with Test 12





### Sensitivity studies







- Estimation of source term for vapour dispersion model
- Identification of key parameters for thermodynamic model
- Examination of different liquids and bund arrangements

















Fresh air drawn downwards limits concentration

- Effect of accumulating vapour layer
- Increased concentration
- Within flammability limit





- Multicomponent cascade
  - Butane
  - Pentane
  - Hexane
  - Decane
- Multicomponent evaporation model applied

### Multicomponent cascade





# Conclusions



- CFD model has been developed and validated
- The important parameters governing vapour production have been identified
- The model has been used to explore configurations beyond the scope of the experiments
- Model outputs were used to inform the Vapour Cloud Assessment Method
- Modelling the splashing process needs further consideration