Course of explosions in Propene/O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> and Methane/O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> mixtures in vessels of 20 I to 2500 I and load on the walls

> Dr. Hans-Peter Schildberg BASF-AG GCT/S - L511 D-67056 Ludwigshafen Email: <u>hans-peter.schildberg@basf.com</u> Tel: +49 621 60-56049



H.-P. Schildberg, 41st UKELG meeting, 13 May 2008, Shell Technology Centre Thornton

# **Outline of talk**

Introduction

Explosion characteristics

Course of explosion in 20 I sphere

Pressure load P<sub>det</sub> on the wall of the vessel

- quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for four scenarios occuring in a pipe (no precompression)
- quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for four scenarios occuring in a pipe (with precompression)

- quantification of  $P_{det}^{oct}$  for Propene/O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> in vessel at  $P_{initial} = 5$  bar abs,  $T_{initial} = 20 \degree C$ 

Comparison: detonative regimes
in 20 I vessels ↔ 100, 500, 2500 I vessels

Predetonation distances of Propene/O<sub>2</sub> in tubes

• Did ignition source directly trigger a spherical detonation?





# **Motivation for this work**

## Trend in partial oxidation reactions:

- replacing air or diluted air as oxidant by oxygen enriched air or by pure oxygen

## **Advantages:**

- increase of yield in space and time
- lower operating pressures

# Critical Issue when dealing with combustible/air/O<sub>2</sub>-mixtures: Process Safety

- vessel-like geometry
  - explosion characteristics
  - course of explosion (deflagration, detonation)

- bubble column geometry (explosive gaseous bubbles dispersed in liquid)

- mechanisms of flame propagation
- course of explosion (deflagration, detonation)

this work

Focus of

4

# **Gaseous mixtures investigated**

Propene / O<sub>2</sub> / N<sub>2</sub>,

Methane /  $O_2$  /  $N_2$ 

Hydrogen / O<sub>2</sub>

Cyclohexane / NO

Cyclohexane / N<sub>2</sub>O

Cyclohexane / NO<sub>2</sub>

very detailed exp. investigations

only for one value of P<sub>initial</sub> and T<sub>initial</sub>

### Introduction

### Explosion characteristics

### Course of explosion in 20 I sphere

## Pressure load P<sub>det</sub> on the wall of the vessel

- quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for four scenarios occuring in a pipe (no precompression)
- quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for four scenarios occuring in a pipe (with precompression)
- quantification of  $P_{det}^{T}$  for Propene/O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> in vessel at  $P_{initial} = 5$  bar abs,  $T_{initial} = 20 \degree C$

Comparison: detonative regimes
in 20 I vessels ↔ 100, 500, 2500 I vessels

#### Predetonation distances of Propene/O<sub>2</sub> in tubes

• Did ignition source directly trigger a spherical detonation?

H.-P. Schildberg, 41st UKELG meeting, 13 May 2008, Shell Technology Centre Thornton

6



# **Experimental setup**

#### **Test vessel:**

20 I sphere

## Ignition source:

exploding wire according to EN1839, Bomb method, section 4.2.3.3.3 (arc discharge, ca. 200 A over ca. 3 ms)

#### **Ignition energy:**

ca. 20 J

### **Mixture preparation:**

partial pressure method, ideal gas characteristics assumed



# **References for complete documentation of explosion characteristics**

H.P. Schildberg in deliverables no. 8 and no. 13 of EU-Research Project SAFEKINEX, Contract No. EVG1-CT-2002-00072; download possible from *www.safekinex.org* 

"Explosion characteristics of Propene/O2/N2 at 1, 5, 10 and 30 bar abs, 25 °C and 200 °C", Hans-Peter Schildberg in *"Process Safety and Industrial Explosion Protection"*, editor: ESMG – European Safety Management Group e. V., Hamm, Germany (2005), ISBN 3-9807567-4-2 (proceedings of the International ESMG Symposium 2005 in Nürnberg, Germany, 32 pages )

"Determination of the Explosion Behaviour of Methane and Propene in Air or Oxygen at Standard and Elevated Conditions",

A.A. Pekalski, H.-P. Schildberg, P.S.D. Smallegange, S.M. Lemkowitz, J.F. Zevenbergen, M. Braithwaite, H.J. Pasman, 11th International Symposium Loss Prevention and Safety Promotion in the Process Industries (2004), Praha Congress Centre 31st May-3rd June 2004; Thematic Section B, page 2118-2138 (ISBN 80-02-01574-6)

"Determination of the Explosion Behaviour of Methane and Propene in Air or Oxygen at Standard and Elevated Conditions",

A.A. Pekalski, H.-P. Schildberg, P.S.D. Smallegange, S.M. Lemkowitz, J.F. Zevenbergen, M. Braithwaite, H.J. Pasman, Process Safety and Environmental Protection, Volume **83**, issue B5, 421-429 (2005)



### Introduction

## Explosion characteristics

## Course of explosion in 20 I sphere

Pressure load P<sub>det</sub> on the wall of the vessel
quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for four scenarios occuring in a pipe (no precompression)
quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for four scenarios occuring in a pipe (with precompression)
quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for Propene/O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> in vessel at P<sub>initial</sub> = 5 bar abs, T<sub>initial</sub> = 20 °C

● Comparison: detonative regimes in 20 I vessels ↔ 100, 500, 2500 I vessels

Predetonation distances of Propene/O<sub>2</sub> in tubes

• Did ignition source directly trigger a spherical detonation?



# Questions to be raised when considering explosions of combustible/air/O<sub>2</sub> mixtures in vessel-like geometry

- What compositions will undergo a transition from Deflagration to Detonation (DDT) after ignition with a thermal ignition source?
- What is the largest conceivable pressure load on the vessel wall?
- How does the volume of the vessel affect the detonative range?
- How about the "obscure" effects reported mostly only in non-public communication?

(Vessels believed to be explosion pressure proof did sometimes rupture, but when repeating the experiment at same initial pressure with even the worst case mixture (i.e. what one believed to be worst case), a mechanically identical vessel did withstand the load).

• Will combustible/air mixtures remain deflagrative for higher values of T<sub>initial</sub> and P<sub>initial</sub>?



# Literature data on deflagrative and potentially detonative explosion regime of n-Butane/ $O_2/N_2$ at 1 bar abs, 20 °C



# What does "potentially detonative regime" mean?

- If a mixture lies <u>outside the potentially detonative regime</u>, an explosion will under all circumstances (e.g. type of ignition source, geometry) only be deflagrative
- If the mixture lies <u>inside the potentially detonative regime</u> and a <u>detonative ignition source</u> triggers an explosion, the flame front will propagate as detonation right from the beginning
- If the mixture lies <u>inside the potentially detonative regime</u> and a <u>thermal</u>\* <u>ignition source</u> triggers an explosion, the flame front will initially propagate as deflagration and the transition to detonation (DDT) will not necessarily occur!

There are further conditions that have to be fullfilled to enable the DDT (e.g. geometry of the containment must support flame acceleration). However, in practical applications it is mostly hard to assess to which degree these conditions are satisfied.

There are absolutely no simulation tools (e.g. reactive CFD codes) available which could predict the DDT in vessels at least to some rudimentary extent.

\*: in process plants almost all ignition sources are thermal. The initial stage of the explosion they can trigger is always deflagrative

# Literature data on deflagrative and potentially detonative explosion regime of Propen/O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> at 1 bar abs, 20 °C



H.-P. Schildberg, 41st UKELG meeting, 13 May 2008, Shell Technology Centre Thornton

🗖 = BASF

# Literature data on deflagrative and potentially detonative explosion regime of $H_2/O_2/N_2$ at 1 bar abs, 20 °C



H.-P. Schildberg, 41st UKELG meeting, 13 May 2008, Shell Technology Centre Thornton

# Experimental setup to determine the potentially detonative range of explosive gas mixtures

"Booster-mixture", Acetylene/ $O_2$ , stoichiometric with respect to formation of CO and  $H_2O$ . It transits to detonation after a few millimeters and acts upon the mixture to be tested with a stronger detonation front than the one that occurs in the mixture when it undergoes a detontive explosion

mixture to be tested for potential detonability (i.e. ability to propagate a detonation which was once initiated either by an external source or by an initially deflagrative explosion in the mixture to be tested, which succeeded in running up to a detonation)



location of thermal ignition source for booster mixture

thin membrane or nothing (after injecting the mixture to be tested the booster mixture is injected close to the ignition source and propagates almost as plug flow into the pipe)

Signals of pressure and/or flame sensors give evidence whether a stable detonation could be triggered in the mixture

#### Note:

Pipe must be long enough for to allow that the initial state of the overdriven detonation can pass over to a stable final state, which can be either a stable detonation or a deflagration in the mixture to be tested.







Propene  $C_3H_6$  [Vol.-%] H.-P. Schildberg, 41st UKELG meeting, 13 May 2008, Shell Technology Centre Thornton






















































Summary of the differences in the pressure time diagrams between explosions in vessels that were triggered by a thermal ignition and ended up as deflagration, "heat explosion" or detonation

	course of explosion			
	deflagration	heat explosion	detonation	
presence of pressure pulse	no	yes	yes	
width of pressure pulse at half height [µs]	(not applicable)	100 - 200	< 50	
height of pressure pulse divided by pressure in mixture just before its occurrence	(not applicable)	typically 4 to 7 (equals deflagration pressure ratio of the precompressed unreacted mixture)	typically 15 to 50 (due to too small sampling rate the true maximum was presumably mostly missed)	
precompression ratio at the moment when pressure pulse occurs	(not applicable)	5-10 (largest value equals $p_{ex}/p_{initial}$ of the corresponding gas mixture)	1-20 (largest value equals $p_{ex}/p_{initial}$ of the corresponding gas mixture)	
"oscillations" in reaction gases after occurrence of pressure pulse	no oscillations anyway	no oscillations	massive oscillations (due to shock front bouncing backwards and for- wards in reaction gases)	
acoustic sound heard outside the vessel	nothing	"click"	prolonged whistle	

H.-P. Schildberg, 41st UKELG meeting, 13 May 2008, Shell Technology Centre Thornton

# Heat explosion – <u>tentative</u> explanation of the course of events

### Before heat explosion:

Reaction rate sufficiently slow to allow for pressure equilibrium over entire sphere

Pressure as function of radial position in 20 I sphere ( $p_{initial} = 1$  bar abs) just before heat explosion occurs in the precompressed, unreacted mixture ( $R_0 = 17$  cm)



### At heat explosion:

Immediate temperature rise and hence also pressure rise in the shell so far unreacted, but heated by adiabatic compression. The induction time must be of the order of 0.1 ms or even less, otherwise the flame front of the initial deflagration would have run through the thin shell of unreacted mixture before the heat explosion could develop.

### After heat explosion:

reaction gases flow to the central part of the sphere and pressure equilibrium is attained

## **Temperatures obtainable by adiabatic compression**

p <sub>final</sub> /p <sub>initial</sub>	T <sub>final</sub> [°C] resulting for different values				T <sub>final</sub> [°C] resulting for differrent values			
	of $\gamma$ in case that $T_{initial} = 35 ^{\circ}\text{C} = 308 \text{ K}$				of $\gamma$ in case that $T_{initial} = 200 ^{\circ}\text{C} = 473 \text{ K}$			
	$\gamma = 1,4$	γ = 1,3	$\gamma = 1,2$	$\gamma = 1, 1$	γ = 1,4	γ = 1,3	γ = 1,2	$\gamma = 1,1$
1,5	72,8	65,2	56,5	46,6	258,1	246,4	233,1	217,8
2	102,5	88,4	72,7	55,0	303,6	282,0	257,9	230,8
3	148,6	123,9	96,9	67,3	374,4	336,5	295,0	249,7
4	184,7	151,1	115,1	76,4	429,9	378,3	322,9	263,5
5	214,8	173,5	129,8	83,5	476,1	412,7	345,5	274,5
6	240,9	192,7	142,2	89,5	516,2	442,2	364,6	283,7
7	264,0	209,6	153,0	94,6	551,7	468,1	381,2	291,5
8	284,9	224,7	162,6	99,1	583,8	491,3	395,9	298,4
9	304,0	238,4	171,2	103,1	613,1	512,4	409,2	304,6
10	321,7	251,0	179,1	106,7	640,2	531,7	421,3	310,1
12	353,5	273,5	193,0	113,1	689,1	566,3	442,7	319,9
14	381,7	293,3	205,2	118,5	732,4	596,7	461,3	328,2
16	407,1	311,0	215,9	123,3	771,5	623,9	477,8	335,6
18	430,4	327,1	225,6	127,6	807,2	648,6	492,7	342,1
20	451,9	341,9	234,4	131,4	840,2	671,3	506,3	348,1

Temperatures may well lie above the ignition temperatures the mixtures presumably have in the precompressed state!

Formula for temperature increase due to adiabatic compression:  $T_{final} = T_{initial} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} p_{final} \\ p_{initial} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma - 1 \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}$ 

H.-P. Schildberg, 41st UKELG meeting, 13 May 2008, Shell Technology Centre Thornton





# Is "heat explosion" a realistic assumption for what happens in yellow range of the explosion triangle ?

- temperatures achieved by adiabatic compression lie well above the autoignition temperature, i. e. heat explosion is possible in principle
- but: induction times calculated on the basis of the rate coefficients of the elementary reactions are still far too long (The induction time would have to be of the order of 0.1 ms or even less, otherwise the flame front of the initial deflagration would have run already through the thin shell of unreacted mixture before the heat explosion can develop).
- including the influence of thermal radiation from the cental part of the sphere does not give a substancial reduction in induction times either (calculation of Hans Pasman)

 $\Rightarrow$  Not really clear what happens in the yellow range of the explosion triangle



H.-P. Schildberg, 41st UKELG meeting, 13 May 2008, Shell Technology Centre Thornton

# Summary on explosion regimes of combustible/air/O<sub>2</sub> mixtures ignited with a thermal ignition source in vessel-like geometry

- There are three explosion regimes: deflagration, "heat explosion", detonation
- The detonative range in the 20 I sphere is discernibly smaller than the potentially detonative range
- What really happens in the "heat explosion" regime is not yet really understood, maybe the pressure time curves are just due to failed DDT's
- The "heat explosion" regime becomes much smaller with increasing initial pressure
- Mixtures of type combustible/air seem to remain deflagrative even at elevated pressures and temperatures.
   However, the data collected so far <u>do not allow to exclude that the detonative regime will expand down to the air line</u> when going to extremely high initial pressures and large vessel volumes.



### Introduction

### Explosion characteristics

### Course of explosion in 20 I sphere

Pressure load P<sub>det</sub> on the wall of the vessel
 quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for four scenarios occuring in a pipe (no precompression)
 quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for four scenarios occuring in a pipe (with precompression)
 quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for Propene/O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> in vessel at P<sub>initial</sub> = 5 bar abs, T<sub>initial</sub> = 20 °C

● Comparison: detonative regimes in 20 | vessels ↔ 100, 500, 2500 | vessels

Predetonation distances of Propene/O<sub>2</sub> in tubes

#### • Did ignition source directly trigger a spherical detonation?

52

### Schematic pressure-time trace of a detonative pressure pulse



H.-P. Schildberg, 41st UKELG meeting, 13 May 2008, Shell Technology Centre Thornton

# Pressure-time diagrams recorded during a detonation in a long pipe at different locations (1/2)



# Pressure-time diagrams recorded during a detonation in a long pipe at different locations (2/2)

Experiment:

Ethylene/air stoichiometric,14 bar abs, 20 °C, side-on pressure, pipe:  $\phi_i$  = 44.3 mm,  $\phi_o$  = 48.3 mm, L = 8 m, turbulence enhancer in front of ignition

source to reduce run-up distance



The Chemical Company

Vocation of ignition

# Qualitative consideration: differences between the pressures produced in a long pipe by a deflagration and by a detonation (2/2)

**Example:** Propane/air, stoichiometric, T<sub>initial</sub> = 20 °C, explosion pressure ratio: 9.5 (simplification: no heat loss to wall)

**Detonative Explosion (predetonation stage necglected)** 







## Chapman-Jouguet pressure ratios P<sub>CJ</sub> calculated by STANJAN <u>Example:</u> Propene/O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>





# Chapman-Jouguet pressure ratios $P_{CJ}$ calculated by STANJAN Example: $H_2/O_2/N_2$



# Chapman-Jouguet pressure ratios P<sub>CJ</sub> calculated on basis of detonation velocity

P <sub>CJ</sub>	= p <sub>det</sub> /p <sub>initial</sub> =	(γ *M <sup>2</sup> +1)/(γ+1)
	≈	(γ * M²)/(γ+1)
	=	= (D <sup>2</sup> * MW)/(R*T <sub>initial</sub> *(γ+1))
	=	= ρ <b>/p<sub>initial</sub> * D²/(γ+1)</b>
meaning of symbols:	$M = D/c$ $D$ $c = (\gamma * p_{initial} / \rho)^{0.5}$ $\rho$ $MW$ $R=8.314$ $\gamma$ $T_{initial}, p_{initial}$ $p_{det}$	Mach number propagation speed of detonation [m/s] speed of sound in the unreacted gas mixture [m/s] density of unreacted gas mixture [kg/m <sup>3</sup> ] mean molar mass of unreacted gas mixture [kg/mol] universal gas constant [J/(mol*K)] $c_p/c_v$ , for diatomic gases $\gamma = 1.4$ initial temperature [K], initial pressure [Pa] of gas mixture side on pressure of stable detonation

#### Remarks:

For using STANJAN various thermodynamic parameters of the reaction components must be known. If these are not available, the detonation velocity is experimentally easily aminable with high precision by recording the side-on pressure of the propagating detonation at different axial locations in the pipe with piezoelectric pressure sensors. Since the absolute signal value, which is actually the quantity one is looking for, is often prone to errors, one has to use the "bypass" over the speed.

### General remarks on Chapman-Jouguet pressure ratios P<sub>CJ</sub>

- Chapman-Jouguet pressure ratios can be calculated by e.g. STANJAN (freeware, STANJAN Chemical Equilibrium Solver v4.01, Stanford University 2003)
- If thermodynamic quantities required by STANJAN are not available for all components of the considered explosive gas mixture, P<sub>CJ</sub> can be calculated on the basis of the experimentally determined detonation speed.
- Chapman-Jouguet pressure ratios are with good precision twice as high as the explosion pressure ratio found for deflagrative explosion:
  - stoichiometric combustible/air- mixtures at 20°C:  $16 \le P_{CJ} \le 20$ - stoichiometric combustible/O<sub>2</sub>-mixtures at 20 °C:  $30 \le P_{CJ} \le 50$
- Chapman-Jouguet pressure ratio is inversely proportional to the absolute initial temperature. (Propagation speed of detonation is almost independent of T<sub>initial</sub>!)



# Example: bulging out of a pipe end due to reflected pressure

#### **Experiment:**

Acetylene, 8 bar abs, 20 °C, pipe 220.9 x 8.2, i.e.  $\phi_i$  = 204.5 mm, material: St35.8,  $R_{p0.2}$  = 230 N/mm<sup>2</sup>,  $P_{Rp0.2}$ =184 bar, L = 8 m, detonative ignition source to reduce run-up distance:

side-on pressure ca. 400 bar, reflected pressure ca. 800 bar

Increase of tube diameter – directly in front of blind flange to 117 % of original value

no increase in diameter in the section that has only been exposed to the sideon pressure



# **Example:** Rupture of pipe end due to reflected pressure

#### Experiment:

Ethylene/air stoichiometric, 17 bar abs, 20 °C, pipe 76.1 x 2.6, i.e.  $\phi_i$  = 70.9, material: 1.4541,  $R_{p0.2}$  = 210 N/mm<sup>2</sup>,  $P_{Rp0.2}$ =154 bar, L = 8 m; side-on pressure ca. 340 bar, reflected pressure ca. 765 bar

> increase in diameter to 105 % of original value in the section that has only been exposed to the sideon pressure

end of tube, which was exposed to the reflected pressure, is fragmented



# **Example:** bulging out of a pipe wall due to the extra pressure at the point where the DDT occurs

 $\label{eq:speciment:} \begin{array}{l} \underline{\text{Experiment:}} \\ \text{Acetylene, 24 bar abs,} \\ 20 \ ^{\circ}\text{C}, \ \text{pipe } 3.17 \ \text{x} \ 0.5, \\ \phi_i = 2.17 \ \text{mm, material:} \\ 1.4541, \ \text{R}_{\text{p0.2}} \geq 250 \ \text{N/mm}^2, \\ \text{P}_{\text{Rp0.2}} \geq 1152 \ \text{bar,} \\ \text{kaltgezogen, L = 10 m} \end{array}$ 



Localized bulging of tube is attributed to the location of the deflagration to detonation transition



🗆 = BASF

#### Introduction

#### Explosion characteristics

#### Course of explosion in 20 I sphere

Pressure load P<sub>det</sub> on the wall of the vessel
 quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for four scenarios occuring in a pipe (no precompression)
 quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for four scenarios occuring in a pipe (with precompression)
 quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for Propene/O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> in vessel at P<sub>initial</sub> = 5 bar abs, T<sub>initial</sub> = 20 °C

Comparison: detonative regimes
 in 20 I vessels ↔ 100, 500, 2500 I vessels

### Predetonation distances of Propene/O<sub>2</sub> in tubes



# How does precompression ("pressure piling") come into existence?

During the initial deflagrative stage of the explosion each volume element that reacts through expands by a factor equal to the explosion pressure ratio at the expense of the volume available for the yet unreacted mixture.

If this volume is large compared to the volume occupied by the reaction gases formed during initial deflagrative stage, the pressure in the yet unreacted mixture will almost stay the same as at the moment of ignition ( $P = P_{initial}$ ).

However, if this volume is small, the yet unreacted mixture will be precompressed. When the deflagration to detonation transition then occurs, the detonation will not propagate in a mixture at pressure  $P = P_{initial}$ , but at  $P = F_{precomp} * P_{initial}$ 

 $F_{precomp}$  may attain any value between 1 and the explosion pressure ratio of the gas mixture (up to 10 for combustible/air-mixtures, up to 24 for combustible/O<sub>2</sub>-mixtures).

The temperature rise that comes along with the fast and hence adiabatic compression will slightly alleviate the detonative pressure  $P_{det}$ . This is accounted for by the Factor  $F_{temp}$  (details see next slide)

In the formulae given beforehand for  $\mathsf{P}_{det},\,\mathsf{F}_{precomp}$  and  $\mathsf{F}_{temp}$  will appear as additional factors

## **Temperature factor F**<sub>temp</sub>

The temperature factor  $F_{temp}$  accounts for the reduction of the Chapman-Jouguet pressure ratio due to the rise in temperature of the unburned mixture brought about by the precompression during the initial deflagrative stage of the explosion.

**I:** Temperature T<sub>final</sub> of unburned mixture attained by adiabatic compression:

$$T_{final} = T_{initial} \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} p_{final} \\ p_{initial} \end{array} \right)^{\binom{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} = T_{initial} \cdot F_{precomp} \overset{(\gamma-1)}{\gamma} \qquad \text{with: } \gamma = c_p/c_v \ (\gamma = 1.4 \text{ for ideal gases}) \\ T_{initial} = \text{temperature at moment of ignition} \end{array}$$

**II:** Chapman-Jouguet pressure ratio is inversely proportional to the temperature the gas mixture exhibits at the moment of detonation (here denoted by T<sub>final</sub>).

F<sub>temp</sub> results from the combined effect of I: and II:

$$F_{temp} = \frac{T_{initial}}{T_{final}} = \left(\frac{1}{F_{precomp}}\right)^{(\gamma-1)/\gamma}$$

### **Temperatures obtainable by adiabatic compression**

T <sub>initial</sub> = 25 °C = 298 K					
D (D	$T_{final}$ [°C] for different values of $\gamma = c_p/c_v$				
r <sub>final</sub> /r <sub>initial</sub>	γ = 1,4	γ = 1,3	γ = 1,2	γ = 1,1	
1,2	40,9	37,8	34,2	30,0	
1,5	61,6	54,2	45,8	36,2	
2	90,3	76,7	61,5	44,4	
4	169,8	137,3	102,5	65,0	
6	224,2	177,6	128,7	77,7	
8	266,8	208,5	148,4	87,0	
10	302,3	234,0	164,4	94,4	
12	333,1	255,8	177,9	100,5	
14	360,4	274,9	189,6	105,8	
16	385,0	292,1	200,0	110,4	
18	407,6	307,6	209,4	114,6	
20	428,4	321,9	218,0	118,3	
25	474,5	353,4	236,6	126,3	
30	514,5	380,3	252,3	133,0	
35	550,0	403,9	266,0	138,7	
40	582,0	425,1	278,1	143,7	
45	611,2	444,3	289,0	148,2	
50	638,2	462,0	299,0	152,3	

T <sub>initial</sub> = 200 °C = 473 K						
P <sub>final</sub> /P <sub>initial</sub>	$T_{final}$ [°C] for different values of $\gamma = c_p/c_v$					
	γ <b>= 1</b> ,4	γ <b>=</b> 1,3	γ <b>= 1</b> ,2	γ = 1,1		
1,2	225,3	220,3	214,6	207,9		
1,5	258,1	246,4	233,1	217,8		
2	303,6	282,0	257,9	230,8		
4	429,9	378,3	322,9	263,5		
6	516,2	442,2	364,6	283,7		
8	583,8	491,3	395,9	298,4		
10	640,2	531,7	421,3	310,1		
12	689,1	566,3	442,7	319,9		
14	732,4	596,7	461,3	328,2		
16	771,5	623,9	477,8	335,6		
18	807,2	648,6	492,7	342,1		
20	840,2	671,3	506,3	348,1		
25	913,5	721,2	535,8	360,8		
30	977,0	763,9	560,8	371,4		
35	1033,2	801,4	582,5	380,5		
40	1084,0	835,1	601,7	388,5		
45	1130,5	865,6	619,1	395,6		
50	1173,4	893,6	634,9	402,0		

Formula for temperature increase due to adiabatic compression:  $T_{final} = T_{initial} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} p_{final} \\ p_{initial} \end{pmatrix}^{(\gamma-1)/\gamma}$ 

H.-P. Schildberg, 41st UKELG meeting, 13 May 2008, Shell Technology Centre Thornton

BASF

The Chemical Company






If there is a transition from deflagration to detonation in the vessel, precompression will almost always occur, because the diameter is usually not much larger than the predetonation distance. <u>The precompression factor</u> <u>may attain the highest possible value, i. e. the explosion pressure ratio.</u>

Reference: GCT report 105.0428.3N, 106.0104.3I

H.-P. Schildberg, 41st UKELG meeting, 13 May 2008, Shell Technology Centre Thornton





# Detonation pressure P<sub>det</sub> acting on the wall of a vessel (almost always with precompression)

- $P_{det}$  = Initial pressure in vessel at moment of ignition ( $P_{initial}$ )
  - x Chapman-Jouguet pressure ratio of the mixture at the temperature the mixture exhibits at the moment of ignition  $(P_{CJ})$
  - x Precompression factor (F<sub>Precomp</sub>)
  - x Temperature factor (F<sub>Temp</sub>)
  - x Factor accounting for reflection of stable detonation at wall ( $F_{reflec}$ )
  - x Factor accounting for extra pressure if DDT happens directly before wall ( $F_{DDT}$ ), otherwise factor is 1

P<sub>det</sub> = P<sub>initial</sub> \* P<sub>CJ</sub> \* F<sub>precomp</sub> \* F<sub>temp</sub> \* F<sub>reflec</sub> \* (F<sub>DDT</sub> or 1, depending on where DDT happened)

# **Example:** Rupture of the wall of a pipe installed in the vessel-pipe configuration due to precompression



H.-P. Schildberg, 41st UKELG meeting, 13 May 2008, Shell Technology Centre Thornton

🗆 • BASF

#### Introduction

#### Explosion characteristics

#### Course of explosion in 20 I sphere

Pressure load P<sub>det</sub> on the wall of the vessel
quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for four scenarios occuring in a pipe (no precompression)
quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for four scenarios occuring in a pipe (with precompression)
quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for Propene/O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> in vessel at P<sub>initial</sub> = 5 bar abs, T<sub>initial</sub> = 20 °C

● Comparison: detonative regimes in 20 I vessels ↔ 100, 500, 2500 I vessels

#### Predetonation distances of Propene/O<sub>2</sub> in tubes



# Precompression factors $F_{precomp}$ in the heat explosion and detonative range of explosion diagrams of Propene/O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> at 5 bar abs, 25 °C and 200 °C



H.-P. Schildberg, 41st UKELG meeting, 13 May 2008, Shell Technology Centre Thornton

**Upper limit for precompression factors** 

The precompression factors attain at maximum the deflagration pressure ratio!

Example for Propene/O<sub>2</sub>-mixtures:



🗆 = BASF



### **Summary concerning pressure load**

Pressures acting on the wall may be a factor 1300 higher than the initial pressure in the mixture. The main reason is that in vessels the highest theoretically possible precompression factors (=deflagration pressure ratios) actually do occur !!

Pressure at the border line of detonative range about factor 6 to 17 larger than in center because of precompression!
(I.e. in the detonative regime the lowest pressure load on the wall is generated by mixtures in the vicinitiy of the stoichiometric combustible/O<sub>2</sub>-mixtures)

- The "obscure" effects talked about in non-public communication seem to be explainable with precompression
- Since in the empty vessel the static equivalent pressure P<sub>stat</sub> of the detonative pressure pulse P<sub>det</sub> is at least 0.5\*P<sub>det</sub>, the lower limit for the required pressure resistance of a detonation pressure proof empty vessel is known.

Since this lower limit is extremely high, it is questionable whether the design of a detonation pressure proof empty vessel is economically justifiable.

#### Introduction

#### Explosion characteristics

#### Course of explosion in 20 I sphere

Pressure load P<sub>det</sub> on the wall of the vessel
quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for four scenarios occuring in a pipe (no precompression)
quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for four scenarios occuring in a pipe (with precompression)
quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for Propene/O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> in vessel at P<sub>initial</sub> = 5 bar abs, T<sub>initial</sub> = 20 °C

Comparison: detonative regimes
in 20 I vessels ↔ 100, 500, 2500 I vessels

#### Predetonation distances of Propene/O<sub>2</sub> in tubes

#### • Did ignition source directly trigger a spherical detonation?

83

### **Vessels used for the experiments**

#### Characteristic parameters of all vessels:

100 I vessel: L = 1309 mm;  $\phi_i$  = 327.2 mm; L/  $\phi_i$  =4.0; distance P1-P3 = 1343 mm 500 I vessel: L = 2146 mm;  $\phi_i$  = 570 mm; L/  $\phi_i$  =3.76; distance P1-P3 = 2309 mm 2500 I vessel: L = 3644 mm;  $\phi_i$  = 956 mm; L/  $\phi_i$  =3.8; distance P1-P3 = 3866 mm

### Sketch of 2500 I vessel (the others are alike, only smaller):



# Examples for pressure/time recordings by sensor P3 in 100 I and 500 I vessel



The peaks are due to the shockwave of the detonation which after having reached the one end of the vessel is reflected backwards into the hot reaction gas, travels until reaching the opposite torospherical head of the vessel, is again reflected and so on

H.-P. Schildberg, 41st UKELG meeting, 13 May 2008, Shell Technology Centre Thornton

🗆 = BASF

# Results of tests with stoichiometric Ethane/ $O_2/N_2$ -mixtures in vessels ranging from 20 I to 2500 I

### **Tests with P**<sub>initial</sub> = 4 bar abs

O <sub>2</sub> -conc. in stoichiometric mixture [vol%]	course of explosion		
	20 I sphere	2500 l cylinder, L/D=3.8	
30	deflagrative	deflagrative	
35	heat explosion		
40	detonative	detonative	

### Tests with P<sub>initial</sub> = 8 bar abs

O <sub>2</sub> -conc. in stoichiometric mixture [vol%]	course of explosion			
	20 I sphere	100 l cylinder, L/D=4	500 I cylinder, L/D=3.76	
30	deflagrative	deflagrative	deflagrative	
35	detonative			
40	detonative	detonative	detonative	



# Summary of comparison of explosive regimes of combustible/ $O_2/N_2$ mixtures in vessels of different volume

- For stoichiometric mixtures there is within experimental accuracy no change in the critical composition (transition from deflagrative to detonative combustion) when varying the vessel volume over two orders of magnitude !!!
- For combustible/O<sub>2</sub> mixtures the potential change of the critical compositions with vessel volume could not yet be studied.



#### Introduction

#### Explosion characteristics

#### Course of explosion in 20 I sphere

Pressure load P<sub>det</sub> on the wall of the vessel
quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for four scenarios occuring in a pipe (no precompression)
quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for four scenarios occuring in a pipe (with precompression)
quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for Propene/O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> in vessel at P<sub>initial</sub> = 5 bar abs, T<sub>initial</sub> = 20 °C

● Comparison: detonative regimes in 20 I vessels ↔ 100, 500, 2500 I vessels

#### Predetonation distances of Propene/O<sub>2</sub> in tubes

#### • Did ignition source directly trigger a spherical detonation?

88





H.-P. Schildberg, 41st UKELG meeting, 13 May 2008, Shell Technology Centre Thornton

# **Predetonation distances of Propene/O**<sub>2</sub> in a $\phi_i$ = 76.3 mm pipe at 5 bar abs, 20 °C





# Example for how to determine the predetonation distance in pipes from pressure/time diagrams

Example: Propene/O<sub>2</sub>, 5 bar abs, 20 °C, 41 vol.-% Propene,  $\phi_i$ =76 mm, L = 7 m, ignition at t = 0 ms thermal ignition source  $(P_2)$ Þ, 1250 1500 1750 2000 800 1000 4000 5000 6000 7000 500 200 13,5 500 mm 150 detonation wave [ms] 100 13,25 50 Detonation wave, v = 2591 m/s 11 5 12 12 5 13.5 13 Retonation wave, v = 1612 m/s 13 200 150 800 mm 100 12,75 50 **Fime of arrival of retonation or** 11.5 12 Q 12.5 13 13.5 12,5 Pressure [bar abs] 12,25 12 11.9 12 12.5 13.5 13 300 11,75 200 100 11.5 12 0 1000 2000 7000 11.5 12.5 13 13.5 3000 4000 5000 6000 800 Distance of pressure sensor from ignition source (left blind flange) [mm] 1750 mm 600 400 200 11.5 12 13.5 12.5 13 14 800 Predetonation distance is given by 2000 mm 600 pressure senso 400 intersection of distance-time curve of defect 200 retonation and detonation wave. 11.5 13.5 11 12 12.5 13 14 400r (in this example:  $L_{predet} = 1560\pm50$  mm) 300 6000 mm 200 100 🗆 • BASF 11 12 13 13.5 11.5 12.5 14 Time [ms] The Chemical Company

### **Clarification of an apparent contradiction with respect** to predetonation distances

Lean mixtures that require up to 760 mm (L/D  $\cong$  10) predetonation distance in a pipe and rich mixtures that require up to 1500 mm (L/D  $\cong$  20) predetonation distance in a pipe manage to run up to detonation in a sphere with radius 170 mm !!!

#### At first glance this is an unexpected result:

In the pipe the unburnt gas is made stream turbulent between rigid walls by expansion of the reaction products. This should encourage flame acceleration.

Hence, if for some mixture the predetonation distance in a pipe is larger than the radius of the sphere, one would not expect this mixture to run up to detonation in the sphere.

#### Possible reasons that mixture detonates in sphere although in pipe I<sub>predet</sub> >> radius of sphere:

- In the sphere there is massive precompression (up to factor of 12 on lean side and up to a factor of 20 on rich side of detonative range) which is absent in long pipes.
  Predetonation distances reduce with increasing pressure (see next slide).
- Based on the precompression difference between lean and rich side it qualitatively makes sense that on the rich side mixtures which exhibit about twice the predetonation distance in a pipe as mixtures on the lean side, still run up to detonation in the sphere
- Because precompression in the sphere is adiabatic, the temperature of the mixture rises substantially (see next slide). Possibly this also contributes to reducing the predetonation distance (????)
- In sphere sonic pressure waves of initial deflagration are reflected backwards and interfere with combustion zone, which might accelerate the flame. In long pipes this effect should almost be absent.

### **Predetonation distances as function of initial pressure**



 $\blacktriangle$  H<sub>2</sub> + N<sub>2</sub>O, 37.7 °C,  $\phi_1$  = 79 mm, L = 2.94 m (L.E. Bollinger, J. A. Laughrey and R. Edse, American RockeSociety: H.-P. Schildberg, 41st UKELG meeting, 13 May 2008, Shell TecARegy@entralT(Easton, Pa) 32p. 81 - 82 (1962)

the predetonation distance: const.

> 🗆 • BASF The Chemical Company

### **Temperatures obtainable by adiabatic compression**

T <sub>initial</sub> = 25 °C = 298 K					
D (D	$T_{final}$ [°C] for different values of $\gamma = c_p/c_v$				
Pfinal/Pinitial	γ = 1,4	γ = 1,3	γ = 1,2	γ = 1,1	
1,2	40,9	37,8	34,2	30,0	
1,5	61,6	54,2	45,8	36,2	
2	90,3	76,7	61,5	44,4	
4	169,8	137,3	102,5	65,0	
6	224,2	177,6	128,7	77,7	
8	266,8	208,5	148,4	87,0	
10	302,3	234,0	164,4	94,4	
12	333,1	255,8	177,9	100,5	
14	360,4	274,9	189,6	105,8	
16	385,0	292,1	200,0	110,4	
18	407,6	307,6	209,4	114,6	
20	428,4	321,9	218,0	118,3	
25	474,5	353,4	236,6	126,3	
30	514,5	380,3	252,3	133,0	
35	550,0	403,9	266,0	138,7	
40	582,0	425,1	278,1	143,7	
45	611,2	444,3	289,0	148,2	
50	638,2	462,0	299,0	152,3	

T <sub>initial</sub> = 200 °C = 473 K					
P <sub>final</sub> /P <sub>initial</sub>	$T_{final}$ [°C] for different values of $\gamma = c_p/c_v$				
	γ <b>= 1</b> ,4	γ <b>= 1</b> ,3	γ <b>= 1</b> ,2	γ = 1,1	
1,2	225,3	220,3	214,6	207,9	
1,5	258,1	246,4	233,1	217,8	
2	303,6	282,0	257,9	230,8	
4	429,9	378,3	322,9	263,5	
6	516,2	442,2	364,6	283,7	
8	583,8	491,3	395,9	298,4	
10	640,2	531,7	421,3	310,1	
12	689,1	566,3	442,7	319,9	
14	732,4	596,7	461,3	328,2	
16	771,5	623,9	477,8	335,6	
18	807,2	648,6	492,7	342,1	
20	840,2	671,3	506,3	348,1	
25	913,5	721,2	535,8	360,8	
30	977,0	763,9	560,8	371,4	
35	1033,2	801,4	582,5	380,5	
40	1084,0	835,1	601,7	388,5	
45	1130,5	865,6	619,1	395,6	
50	1173,4	893,6	634,9	402,0	

Formula for temperature increase due to adiabatic compression:  $T_{final} = T_{initial} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} p_{final} \\ p_{initial} \end{pmatrix}^{(\gamma-1)/\gamma}$ 

H.-P. Schildberg, 41st UKELG meeting, 13 May 2008, Shell Technology Centre Thornton

BASE

### Summary on predetonation distances of Propene/O<sub>2</sub>

- Predetonation distances change drastically (about 2 orders of magnitude) over a relatively narrow range of compositions
- Above result corroborates the so far preliminary conclusion drawn from the comparisons of the detonative regimes found in 20, 100, 500 and 2500 I vessels (detonative range in 20 I sphere about the same as in 2500 I vessel)
- Above statements are compatible with the well established observation that combustible/air mixtures do not run up to detonation in empty vessels ->>> see next slide ->>>>



# <u>Tentative</u> generalisation of the results found for the course of the explosion of Propene/O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> in the 20 I sphere and in tubes (thermal ignition presumed!)



H.-P. Schildberg, 41st UKELG meeting, 13 May 2008, Shell Technology Centre Thornton

#### Introduction

Explosion characteristics

Course of explosion in 20 I sphere

#### Pressure load P<sub>det</sub> on the wall of the vessel

- quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for four scenarios occuring in a pipe (no precompression)

- quantification of P<sub>det</sub> for four scenarios occuring in a pipe (with precompression)

- quantification of  $P_{det}$  for Propene/O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> in vessel at  $P_{initial}$  = 5 bar abs,  $T_{initial}$  = 20 °C

#### • Comparison: detonative regimes

in 20 l vessels  $\leftrightarrow$  100, 500, 2500 l vessels

- Predetonation distances of Propene/O<sub>2</sub> in tubes
- Did ignition source directly trigger a spherical detonation?



## Did our the ignition source directly trigger a spherical detonation?

#### Ignition source used is fusing wire according to EN 1839, section 4.2.3.3.3 :

- wire of 0.2 mm diameter, ca. 5 mm long, clamped between two electrodes
- by a thyristor the wire is connected for a fraction of a half wave with the secondary coil of an insulation transformer (thyristor typically opens between 0° and 150° and closes at 180°)
- wire melts in about first millisecond
- just when first contact breaks apart between the electrodes by the melting of the wire an arc discharge emerges and keeps on burning until the voltage between the pole tips falls back to 0.

This ignition source must be rated as purely thermal, since the shock wave associated with the first occurrence of the arc discharge is negligible (see next slides)





# Current/time, Voltage/time and Pressure/time diagrams of ignition source *"melting wire with subsequent arc discharge"* (2/5)

**Example:** ignited in 1 bar N<sub>2</sub> at 20°C, thyristor switched on from 5° to 180° of one half cycle of mains supply



# Current/time, Voltage/time and Pressure/time diagrams of ignition source *"melting wire with subsequent arc discharge"* (3/5)

**Example:** ignited in 20 bar N<sub>2</sub> at 20°C, thyristor switched on from 120° to 180° of one half cycle of mains supply



## Current/time, Voltage/time and Pressure/time diagrams of ignition source *"melting wire with subsequent arc discharge"* (4/5)

**Example:** ignited in 20 bar N<sub>2</sub> at 20°C, thyristor switched on from 5° to 180° of one half cycle of mains supply



# Current/time, Voltage/time and Pressure/time diagrams of ignition source *"melting wire with subsequent arc discharge"* (5/5)



### Summary of talk

- Abundant set of explosion characteristics in the important but so far mostly unexplored combustible/air/O<sub>2</sub> region of the explosive range
- Detonative range in empty vessels is determined
- Pressure load on the wall is understood quantitatively
- The link between detonation in pipes and in vessels is established

